For the Northern District of California

| 1 | |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ERRIS EDGERLY, No. C 03-02169 WHA |
| 11 | Plaintiff, |
| 12 | v. ORDER REGARDING SUBMISSIONS FOR FINAL |
| 13 14 | CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DAVID GOFF, JOHN CONEFREY, FREDERICK SCHIFF, AND DOES 1 TO 20, |
| 15 | Defendants. |
| 16 | / |
| 17 | For the final pretrial conference, counsel shall prepare a fresh and completely updated |
| 18 | joint submission. Do not rely on what was filed several years ago. The proposed jury |
| 19 | instructions, for example, must incorporate the Ninth Circuit's current view of the law on each |
| 20 | claim to be tried as well as a correct view of all other aspects of law that will be tried. Counsel |
| 21 | must meet and confer in person (not by letter or over the phone) to try to reach an agreement on |
| 22 | the jury instructions as well as all other parts of the joint submission. |
| 23 | |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 13, 2010.

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE